Catalytic Oxidation of Tetrahydrofuran in the Presence of Transition Metal Complexes under Aerobic Conditions[†]

Min Shi

Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fengline Lu, Shanghai 200032, China

Upon stirring a THF solution of a transition metal under an aerobic atmosphere, γ -butyrolactone and a small amount of lactol and 4-hydroxybutyraldehyde are formed; the turnover number of this catalytic oxidation process can reach 150 when IrCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ is used.

Oxygenation of the α -carbon of diethyl ether using metals or metal oxides has been widely studied.¹ For example, RuO₄,² CrO₃,³ and KMnO₄⁴ are among the stoichiometric oxidants. It has also been reported that [Rh(NBD)(PMe₂Ph)][BF₄] (NBD = 2,5-norbornadiene) can catalyse the aerobic oxidation of ethers to esters with coproduction of formic acid in the presence of carbon dioxide and oxygen as in the corresponding catalytic oxygenation of the α -carbon of ethers using transition metals.⁵ Furthermore, Komatsu and co-workers⁶ elucidated that treatment of benzyl ethyl ether with a catalytic amount of a binuclear copper(II) complex of 7-azaindole under an oxygen atmosphere at 80 °C produced ethyl benzoate and that this oxidation reaction involves an outer-sphere electron transfer between metal and ether and the reactivity depends on the ionization of ethers.

Recently, I found that air-stable rhodium complexes such as acylrhodium(II) hydrides⁷ can easily catalyse the oxidation of tetrahydrofuran (THF) under aerobic conditions to give γ -butyrolactone 1, a small amount of the corresponding lactol (2), and 4-hydroxybutyraldehyde 3 (Scheme 1). Further investigations showed that many simple transition metals also can catalyse this oxidation when a THF solution of a complex of Rh, Ru, Ir, or Pd is stirred in an open flask and a high yield of the corresponding lactone was formed. Herein I report the details of this interesting oxidation reaction.

A THF (30 ml) solution of RhCl(CO)(PPh₃)₂ (35 mg, 0.05 mmol) was stirred under aerobic conditions at room temperature for 48 h. The reaction mixture was analysed by GC and GC-MS. the product yields were determined by GC analysis using naphthalene as internal standard. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by chromatography to give compound **1** which was further confirmed by ¹H NMR analysis. Some other catalysts were also examined under the same reaction conditions and their turnover numbers are summarized in Table 1. It is clear that the turnover numbers highly depend on the solubility of the catalyst used in THF, that is the homogeneous



†This is a **Short Paper** as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see *J. Chem. Research* (S), 1998, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in *J. Chem. Research* (M).

Table 1Yields of lactone from THF (30 ml) in thepresence of catalysts (0.05 mmol) under an aerobicatmosphere

| | Turnover number ^a | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Catalyst | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $ \frac{Rh/C}{RhCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2} \\ [Rh(COD)Cl]_2 \\ \swarrow \\ PPh_3 \\ \downarrow \\ H \\ Rh \\ Cl \\ \hline \\ Cl \\ \hline \\ Cl \\ \hline \\ Cl \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ Cl \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$ | 20 94 90 19 | 4 | 5 5 |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline I & PPh_3 \\ \hline O & PPh_3 \\ O & (PPh_3)_3 \\ RuH_2 (PPh_3)_4 \\ IrCI(CO) (PPh_3)_2 \\ Pd/C \\ Pd(C_6H_5CN)_2CI_2 \\ Pd(PPh_3)_4 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ | 64 50 150 10 6 22 | 2 2 4 — 10 | 4 3 3 — 50 |

^aThe turnover number is based on the amount of the catalyst.

reaction phase gave a higher turnover. Soluble Vaska-type complexes of Rh or Ir in THF usually give a high turnover number, but sterically hindered catalysts only give a low turnover. Apparently some transition metals are much more effective than the binuclear copper(II) complex reported by Komatsu and co-workers⁶ even under mild conditions.

A control experiment was carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere using $IrCl(CO)(PPh_3)_2$ as catalyst. it was confirmed by GC that, in the absence of oxygen, no reaction product is formed. The oxidation mechanism of this reaction is still obscure at present. Further study on the oxidation of other ethers or heteroatomic compounds is in progress.

Experimental

Melting points were obtained with a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Gas chromatographic analyses of compounds 1, 2 and 3 were performed on a 50 m capillary column (Shimadzu CBP20) at 90–180 °C on a Shimadzu 14A instrument. ¹H NMR spectra were determined for solutions in CDCl₃ with tetramethylsilane as internal standard on a JNM-GX270 spectrometer, mass spectra on a JMS D-300 instrument. All the solid compounds reported in this paper gave satisfactory C, H, N microanalyses with a Perkin-Elmer Model 240 analyzer. The acylrhodium(III) complex,⁷ rhodium and iridium Vaska-type catalysts,^{8,9} were prepared according to the literature. The other transition metals were commercially available.

γ-Butyrolactone 1: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 2.26 (2 H, q, J 6.6, CH₂), 2.46 (2 H, t, J 7.0, CH₂) and 4.32 (2 H, t, J 6.9 Hz, CH₂); HRMS (EI) m/z 86.0362 (M⁺); calc. for C₄H₆O₂ 86.0368.

Quinolinecarbaldehyde: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃) 7.45–7.60 (1 H, m, Ar), 7.68 (1 H, t, *J* 7.7, Ar), 8.08 (1 H, d, *J* 8.1, Ar), 8.23 (1 H, d, *J* 8.2, Ar), 8.32 (1 H, d, *J* 7.4, Ar), 9.05 (1 H, d, *J* 0.2 Hz, Ar) and 11.45 (1 H, s, CH=O); MS (EI) m/z 157 (M⁺) (Found: C, 76.49; H, 4.51; N, 8.9. C₁₀H₇NO requires C, 76.42; H, 4.49; N, 8.91%).

Received, 5th May 1998; Accepted, 4th June 1998 Paper E/8/03377C

References

- 1 C. R. A. Godfrey, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M.
- Trost, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, vol. 7, p. 235. 2 A. B. Smith III and R. M. Scarborough Jr, *Synth. Commun.*,
- 2 A. B. Smith III and R. M. Scarborough Jr, Synth. Commun., 1980, 205.

- J. CHEM. RESEARCH (S), 1998 593
- 3 J. T. Harrison and S. Harrison, Chem. Commun., 1966, 752.
- 4 H. T. Schmidt and H. J. Schaefer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1979, 18, 69.
- 5 A. K. Fazlur-Rahman, J. T. Tsai and K. M. Nicholas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 1334.
- 6 S. Minakata, E. Imai, Y. Ohshima, K. Inaki, I. Ryu, M. Komatsu and Y. Ohshiro, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 19.
- 7 V. M. Rodionov and M. A. Berkengeim, J. Gen. Chem. USSR, 1944, 14, 330; J. W. Suggs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 640.
- 8 D. Evans, J. A. Osborn and G. Wilkinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1968, **11**, 99.
- 9 K. Vrieze, J. P. Collman, C. T. Sears and M. Kubota, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1968, **11**, 101.